Information and Computation Theory for Interdiscipliners

This note compiles two well-known textbooks: Michael Sipser, *Introduction to the Theory of Computation (3e)* and Thomas M. Cover & Joy A. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory (2e)*. No preknowledge is required.

Contents

- 1 Entropy
- 2 Coding, Statistics and Investment
- **3** Communication
- 4 Automaton and Language
- 5 Computability
- 6 Complexity

1 Entropy

1.1

entropy $H(X) = -\sum\limits_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) logp(x) = -Elogp(X)$

joint entropy, conditional entropy H(X,Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X) note: usually $H(X|Y) \neq H(X|Y = y)$

relative entropy(KL distance) $D(p\|q) = -\sum\limits_{x\in\mathcal{X}} p(x) log rac{p(x)}{q(x)} = -Elog rac{p(X)}{q(X)}$ usually $D(p\|q)
eq D(q\|p)$

mutual inforamtion $I(X;Y) = D(p(x,y) \| p(x)p(y))$

$$I(X;Y) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X,Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$
 $I(X;X) = H(X)$ Venn diagram

chain rule

$$H(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i | X_{i-1}, \dots, X_1), \ I(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i; Y | X_{i-1}, \dots, X_1)$$

Jesen Ineq: convex func. f and a RV X, $Ef(X) \ge f(EX)$

Info. Ineq: $D(p\|q)\geq 0, ext{ eq. iff } p=q \; I(X;Y)\geq 0, ext{ eq. iff } X \; id. \; Y$

 $H(X) \leq log |\mathcal{X}| \;\; H(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n H(X_i)$

log-sum Ineq: $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \log \frac{a_i}{b_i} \ge \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right) \log \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i} \ D(p||q)$ is convex about (p,q) and H(p) is concave about p.

Markov chain $X o Y o Z, \; I(X;Z|Y)=0$ Data Processing Ineq: $I(X;Y) \geq I(X;Z), \; ext{eq. iff } I(X;Y|Z)=0$

 $\theta \to X \to T(X)$ sufficient statistics $I(\theta; X) = I(\theta; T(X))$ or X *id.* θ when T(X) is given minimal suf. stat. $\theta \to T(X) \to U(X) \to X$

Fano Ineq:
$$egin{array}{ll} X o Y o \hat{X}, \ P_e = Pr(X
eq \hat{X}), \ H_e = -P_e log P_e - (1 - P_e) log (1 - P_e), \ H(X|Y) \leq H(X|\hat{X}) \leq H_e + P_e H(X) \leq H_e + P_e log |\mathcal{X}| \end{array}$$

$$X \sim p(x), \ Y \sim q(y), \ Pr(X=Y) \geq 2^{-H(p) - D(p \| q)}$$
1.2

(weak)LLN, asymptotic equipartition property AEP:

$$X_1, \cdots, X_n \stackrel{iid.}{\sim} p(x), \; - rac{1}{n} logp(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \stackrel{p}{
ightarrow} H(X)$$

typical set $A_{\epsilon}^n \subset \mathcal{X}^n$ large $n, \ Pr(A_{\epsilon}^n) > 1 - \epsilon, \ (1 - \epsilon)2^{n(H(X) - \epsilon)} \leq |A_{\epsilon}^n| \leq 2^{n(H(X) + \epsilon)}$ (In short, A_{ϵ}^n has roughly 2^{nH} elements with equal prob. 2^{-nH} .)

Nearly nH bits can express sequence X^n .(typical set $n(H+\epsilon)+1$ bit and nontypical $nlog|\mathcal{X}|+1$ bit)

In the sense of first-order exponent, among all sets that have $Pr>1-\epsilon$, A_{ϵ}^n is the minimal.

stationary stochastic process, stationary Markov chain

entropy rate of a sto. $\{X_i\}$: $H(\mathcal{X}) = \lim_{n o \infty} rac{1}{n} H(X_1, \cdots, X_n)$ (if limit exists)

for stn. sto. also $= \lim_{n o \infty} H(X_n | X_{n-1}, \cdots, X_1)$ proof: Stolz-Cesaro Means Th.

for stn. MC of trans. mx P and stn. dis. $\mu=P\mu$, $H(\mathcal{X})=H(X_2|X_1)=-\sum\limits_{ij}\mu_iP_{ij}logP_{ij}$

Second law of thermodynamics: as time $n\uparrow$,

$$D(\mu_n \| \mu'_n) \downarrow$$
, esp. $D(\mu_n \| \mu)$;

if stn. dis. is uni.(equal a priori prob. principle) $H(X_n)$ \uparrow ;

```
for stn. MC H(X_n|X_1) \uparrow;
```

operator(e.g. shuffling) T id. X, $H(TX) \ge H(X)$

 $\mathrm{stn.} \ \mathrm{MC} \ \{X_n\}, \ Y_i = \phi(X_i), \ H(\mathcal{Y}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} H(Y_n | Y_{n-1}, \cdots, Y_1) = \lim_{n \to \infty} H(Y_n | Y_{n-1}, \cdots, Y_1, X_1)$

Shannon-McMillan-Breiman(general AEP) Th: H is the entropy rate of a finite ergodic sto. X_{n} , $-\frac{1}{n}logp(X_0, \cdots, X_{n-1}) \xrightarrow{a.s.} H$ proof: Sandwich Th.

1.3

differential entropy $h(X) = -\int_S f(x) log f(x) dx$ (S is the support set of RV X)

joint diff. ent., conditional diff. ent., relative ent., MI, AEP are in the same way.

example: $h(\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)) = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2}log(2\pi)^n |\Sigma|$; for bivar. \mathcal{N}_2 , $I(X_1; X_2) = -\frac{1}{2}log(1 - \rho^2)$ $h(aX) = h(X) + log|a|, \ h(AX) = h(X) + log|detA|$

Among all dis. with $\Sigma = EXX'$, Gauss dis. has max ent. $h(X) \leq \frac{1}{2}log(2\pi e)^n |\Sigma|$ proof: $D(f||N) \geq 0, \ \int flogN = \int NlogN$

estimation error (if have side info. Y) $E(X-\hat{X})^2 \geq rac{1}{2\pi e} e^{2h(X|Y)}$

Similarly, $A_{\epsilon}^n = \{x \in S^n : -\frac{1}{n}logp(x) - h(X)| \le \epsilon\}$. While in discrete case we use cardinal $|A_{\epsilon}^n| = 2^{nH}$, in continuous case we use volume $V(A_{\epsilon}^n) = 2^{nh}$ (like a cube with side length 2^h).

relation with discrete ent: dis. p(x) is Riemann integrable, X is partitioned as X^{Δ} by intervals with length Δ , then $\lim_{\Delta \to 0} H(X^{\Delta}) + log\Delta = h(X)$. Thus a n bit quantized cont. RV X has a ent. of h(X) + n.

more: several ineq. about det can be derived thru ent. of a multivar ndis. *e.g.* Hadamard ineq: $\prod_{i} \Sigma_{ii} \ge |\Sigma| \ge \prod_{i} \sigma_{i}^{2} \text{ where } \sigma_{i}^{2} \text{ is the cond. variance of } X_{i} \text{ given other } X_{j} \text{, or } \sigma_{n}^{2} = \frac{|\Sigma_{n}|}{|\Sigma_{n-1}|}$

Maximum entropy dis./prin./estimation $\max_f h(f) ext{ s.t. } f(x) \geq 0, \ \int_S f(x) dx = 1, \ \int_S f(x) r_i(x) dx = lpha_i$

$$f^*(x) = e^{\lambda_0 + \sum\limits_i \lambda_i r_i(x)} = rac{e^{\sum\limits_i \lambda' r_i(x)}}{\int_S e^{i} \lambda r_i(x)}$$
 proof: Lagrange; Info ineq.

example: Boltzmann dis. $S=[0,+\infty),\;EX=\mu,\;f(x)=rac{1}{\mu}e^{-rac{x}{\mu}}$

 $S = (-\infty, +\infty), EX = \alpha_1, EX^2 = \alpha_2, f(x) = \mathcal{N}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 - \alpha_1^2)$ but when we have third moment constraint, λ_3 needs to be 0 to aviod $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f = \infty$, and therefore this method may be out of work. However we can add some carefully devised perturbation onto the original \mathcal{N} to get whatever α_3 while holding α_1 and α_2 . Thus $\sup h(f) = h(\mathcal{N}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2 - \alpha_1^2)) = \frac{1}{2} \ln 2\pi e(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1^2)$, the max ent. is only ϵ -reachable.

diff. ent. rate $h(\mathcal{X}) = \lim_{n o \infty} rac{1}{n} h(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \stackrel{stn.}{=} \lim_{n o \infty} h(X_n | X^{n-1})$

for Gauss stno. $h(\mathcal{X}) = \frac{1}{2}log2\pi e + \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}logS(\lambda)d\lambda$, $\sigma_{\infty}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi e}2^{2h}$ (best em. error given inf. history)

AR model, autocorrelation func. $R(k) = E X_i X_{i+k}$ power spectral density PSD $S(\lambda) = \mathcal{F}(R(k))$

p order Gaussian-Markov autoreg. sto.

 $X_i = \sum_{i=1}^{
u} a_j X_{i-j} + Z_i, \; Z_i \stackrel{iid.}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$

attains the max ent. rate among all sto. satisfying the conditions

 $EX_iX_{i+k} = lpha_k, 1 \leq k \leq p, orall i$

 a_i,σ^2 can be solved from Yule-Walker equations: $R(m)=\sum\limits_{k=1}^pa_kR(m-k)+\sigma^2\delta_{m,0}, 1\leq m\leq p$

2 Coding, Statistics and Investment

2.1

source coding $C:\mathcal{X} o\mathcal{D}^*,\ l(x)=|C(x)|,\ L(C)=El(X)$ (alphabet $\mathcal{D}=\{1,\ldots,D-1\}$)

nonsigular \supset uniquely decodable \supset instantaneous/prefix

Kraft ineq: for inst. code on D, code word length $l_1, ..., \sum_i D^{-l_i} \le 1$ proof: all code words' son sets disjoint.

optimal code $H_D(X) \leq L < H_D(X) + 1$, eq. iff. $D^{-l_i} = p_i$ D-adic dis. proof: Shannon coding by Lagrange

Shannon coding: $l_i = \lceil log_D \frac{1}{p_i} \rceil$ (to prove the upper bound)

for stno. $\{X_n\}$, $L o H(\mathcal{X})$ Shannon First Th (Noiseless Coding Th): $H(\mathcal{X}) \le L \le H(\mathcal{X}) + rac{1}{n}$

for wrong code(using q(x)), the length will increased by $D(p\|q)$

Acc. all uni. decodable codes satisfy Kraft ineq.(McMillian ineq.) so they are no better than prefix codes.

Huffman coding C_H is optimal. Shannon-Fano-Elias coding

Shannon coding is competitive optimal $Pr(l(X) \geq l'(X) + c) \leq rac{1}{2^{c-1}}$

(refer to 5.5 for Kolmogorov complexity)

2.2

prob. simplex $\mathcal P$ type P_x on $\mathcal X$, type class $T(P)=\{x\in \mathcal X^n: P_x=P\}, \ P\in \mathcal P^n$

$$\begin{split} 1. \ |\mathcal{P}^{n}| &\leq (n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}|} \quad 2. \ Q^{n}(x) = 2^{-n(D(P_{x}||Q) + H(P_{x}))} \quad 3. \ |T(P)| \stackrel{.}{=} 2^{nH(P)} \quad 4. \ Q^{n}(T(P)) \stackrel{.}{=} 2^{-nD(P||Q)} \\ (x &= \{x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}\}, \ X_{i} \stackrel{iid.}{\sim} Q(x)) \\ \text{seq. typical set } T_{\epsilon}^{Q^{n}} &= \{x : D(P_{x}||Q) \leq \epsilon\}, \ Pr(T_{\epsilon}^{Q^{n}}) \to 1, \ D(P_{x}||Q) \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} 0 \\ \text{subset } E \subset \mathcal{P}, \ Q^{n}(E) \leq (n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}|} 2^{-nD^{*}}, \ D^{*} = \min_{P \in E} D(P||Q); \\ \text{Large deviation theory Sanov Th:} \end{split}$$

 $ext{ if }E ext{ is the closure of its interior}, \ -rac{1}{n}logQ^n(E)
ightarrow D^*$

 $\text{if constraints } E = \{P: \sum_{x} P(x)g_i(x) \geq \alpha_i\}, \text{ we can get } P^*(x) = \frac{Q(x)e^{\sum\limits_{i}\lambda g_i(x)}}{\sum\limits_{x \in \mathcal{X}} Q(x)e^{\sum\limits_{i}\lambda g_i(x)}} \text{ using Lagrange}.$

jointly typical set

$$egin{aligned} A_{\epsilon}^n &= \{(x^n,y^n) \in \mathcal{X}^n imes \mathcal{Y}^n : \left| -rac{1}{n} \log p\left(x^n
ight) - H(X)
ight| < \epsilon, \; \left| -rac{1}{n} \log p\left(y^n
ight) - H(Y)
ight| < \epsilon, \ \left| -rac{1}{n} \log p\left(x^n,y^n
ight) - H(X,Y)
ight| < \epsilon\}, \; Pr(A_{\epsilon}^n) o 1 \end{aligned}$$

 $(ilde{X}^n, ilde{Y}^n)\sim p(x^n)p(y^n),\ Pr((ilde{X}^n, ilde{Y}^n)\in A^n_\epsilon) o 2^{-nI(X;Y)}$ proof: Sanov Th.

 $\text{closed convex set } E \subset \mathcal{P}, \; Q \notin E, \; P^* = \arg\min_{P \in E} D(P \| Q), \; \forall P \in E, \; D(P \| Q) \geq D(P \| P^*) + D(P^* \| Q)$

Conditional Limit Th: seq. $X^n \stackrel{iid.}{\sim} Q, n \to \infty, Pr(X_i = x | P_{X^n} \in E) \xrightarrow{p} P^*(x)$ (i.e. type P^* represents the whole set) proof: $D(P_1 || P_2) \ge \frac{1}{2 \ln 2} || P_1 - P_2 ||_{1'}^2$ D's convergence implies \mathcal{L}_1 norm's convergence. (Taylor: $D(P_1 || P_2) = \frac{1}{2} \chi^2_{P_1, P_2} + \ldots$)

Hypo test $X_i \stackrel{iid.}{\sim} Q(x), \ H_1: Q = P_1, \ H_2: Q = P_2$, Neyman-Pearson Lem: likelihood ratio $T \ge 0$, acceptance region $A_n(T) = \{x^n: \frac{P_1(x^n)}{P_2(x^n)} > T\}, \ \alpha^* = P_1^n(A_n^c(T)), \ \beta^* = P_2^n(A_n(T)),$

 $\text{ other regions with } \alpha \leq \alpha^* \text{ must have } \beta \geq \beta^*$

 $\begin{array}{l} \frac{P_1(X^n)}{P_2(X^n)} > T \text{ equals to } D(P_{X^n} \| P_2) - D(P_{X^n} \| P_1) > \frac{1}{n} logT, \text{ under this constraint we minimize} \\ D(P \| P_2) (\text{also } D(P \| P_1)) \text{ using Lagrange and get } P_\lambda = \frac{P_1^{\lambda}(x) P_2^{1-\lambda}(x)}{\sum\limits_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_1^{\lambda}(x) P_2^{1-\lambda}(x)} \text{ to estimate} \\ \alpha_n \stackrel{.}{=} 2^{-nD(P_\lambda \| P_1)}, \beta_n \stackrel{.}{=} 2^{-nD(P_\lambda \| P_2)}. \text{ (λ can be determined by } D(P_{X^n} \| P_2) - D(P_{X^n \| P_1}) = \frac{1}{n} logT. \end{array}$



relative ent. AEP: $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid.}{\sim} P_1(x), \ \forall P_2(x), \ -\frac{1}{n} \log \frac{P_1(X_1, \dots, X_n)}{P_2(X_1, \dots, X_n)} \stackrel{p}{\to} D(P_1 \| P_2)$ relative ent. typical set $P_1(A_{\epsilon}^n(P_1 \| P_2)) > 1 - \epsilon, \ P_2(A_{\epsilon}^n(P_1 \| P_2)) \to 2^{-nD(P_1 \| P_2)}$

Chernoff-Stein Lem:

$$lpha_n=P_1^n(A_n^c),\ eta_n=P_2^n(A_n),\ eta_n^\epsilon=\min_{A_n\subset\mathcal{X},lpha_n<\epsilon}eta_n,\ \lim_{n
ightarrow\infty}rac{1}{n}logeta_n^\epsilon=-D(P_1\|P_2)$$

when Bayesian weighted $D^* = \min_{A_n} \lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} log(\pi_1 \alpha_n + \pi_2 \beta_n)$, Chernoff Info. $C(P_1, P_2) = D^* = D(P_\lambda \| P_1) = D(P_\lambda \| P_2)$ or $C(P_1, P_2) = -\min_{0 \le \lambda \le 1} log(\sum_x P_1^\lambda(x) P_2^{1-\lambda}(x))$

(*note:* D^* is not related to π_1, π_2 since large sample will eliminate a priori knowledge $\frac{\pi_1}{\pi_2} \frac{P_1(X_n)}{P_2(X_n)} \stackrel{?}{\sim} T$)

Score func. $V = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln f(X;\theta), \ EV = 0$ Fisher Info. $J(\theta) = EV^2 = -E \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln f(X;\theta)$ $J_n(\theta) = nJ(\theta)$

Cramer-Rao Ineq: unbiased stat. T(X) of θ , $\Sigma(T) \ge J^{-1}(\theta)$ (for multivar. it means mx $\Sigma - J^{-1}$ is semipositive.)(Similarly, for biased stat. we have $b_T(heta) = ET - heta, \ E(T - heta)^2 \geq rac{(1 + b_T'(heta))^2}{J(heta)} + b_T^2(heta)$.)

proof: Cauchy-Schwarz Ineq. for V - EV and T - ET.

some senses: for para. dis. family $\{p_{\theta}(x)\}, \theta \to \theta', \ D(p_{\theta} || p'_{\theta}) \sim \frac{J(\theta)}{2}$; de Brujin Ineq. $Z \ id. \ X, \ Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1), \ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h(X + \sqrt{t}Z) = \frac{1}{2}J(X + \sqrt{t}Z), \text{ if limit exists}, \ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}h(X + \sqrt{t}Z)\big|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}J(X)$ (*h*'s base is *e*); Just like ent. power $2^{nH(X)}$ can be seemed as the volume of typical set, Fisher info. J(X) can be seemed as the surface area, where $J(X)=\intrac{(rac{\partial f}{\partial x})^2}{f}dx$; Fisher info's convolution ineq. $\frac{1}{J(X+Y)} \ge \frac{1}{J(X)} + \frac{1}{J(Y)}$

ent. powe,r Ineq: $X \, id. \, Y, \, \dim X = \dim Y = n, \, 2^{\frac{2}{n}h(X+Y)} \ge 2^{\frac{2}{n}h(X)} + 2^{\frac{2}{n}h(Y)}$ or $h(X+Y) \geq h(X'+Y')$, where $X',Y' \sim \mathcal{N}, \; X' \; id. \; Y', \; h(X') = h(X), \; h(Y') = h(Y)$

2.3

Kelly game $b^* = p$ Gambling conservation Th: $W^* + H = logm$ (for uniform fair oppo. game) estimation of entropy of English (Shannon letter guessing game)

potfolio
$$\mathcal{B} = \{b \in \mathcal{R}^m: b_i \geq 0, \sum\limits_{i=1}^m b_i = 1\} \; X \sim F(x), \; S = b'X$$

first and second moment method: Sharpe-Markowitz theory, CAPM

growth rate $W(b,F) = \int log S dF = E log b' X$ $S_n = \prod_{i=1}^n S_i, \ \frac{1}{n} log S_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\rightarrow} W, \ S_n \stackrel{.}{=} 2^{nW}$ log optimal porfolio $W^*(F) = \max_{b} W(b,F)$

W(b, F) is concave about b, linear about F, and $W^*(F)$ is convex about F.

 b^* 's KT condition: $E(rac{b'X}{b^{*'X}}) \leq 1, \; E(rac{X_i}{b^{*'X}}) = 1 ext{ if } b_i^* > 0, \leq 1 ext{ if } b_i^* = 0$ causal portfolio $b_i:\mathcal{R}^{m(i-1)}_+ o \mathcal{B}\,$ log optimal is the best. $ElogS_n^*=nW^*\geq ElogS_n$ Side info. raises growth rate. $\Delta W = \int_y f(y) \Delta W_{Y=y} \leq I(X;Y)$

 $W^*_\infty = \lim_{n o \infty} rac{1}{n} W^*(X_1, \cdots, X_n) \stackrel{stn.}{=} \lim_{n o \infty} W^*(X_n | X^{n-1})$ $rac{S_n}{S_n^*} ext{ is a supermartingale, } \stackrel{a.s.}{
ightarrow} V, \ EV \leq 1, \ Pr(\sup_{n} rac{S_n}{S_n^*} \geq t) \leq rac{1}{t}$

$$S_n^*(x^n) = \max_b \prod_{i=1}^n b' x_i, \; \hat{S_n}(x^n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \hat{b}_i'(x^{i-1}) x_i, \; \max_{\hat{b}} \min_{x^n} rac{S_n(x^n)}{S_n^*(x^n)} = V_n$$
 $V_n = (\sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{2} 2^{-nH(rac{n_1}{n},\dots,rac{n_n}{n})})^{-1} \sim n^{-rac{m-1}{2}}$

universal portfolio:

$$V_n = \left(\sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_m = n} \binom{n}{n_1, \dots, n_m} 2^{-nH(\frac{n_1}{n}, \dots, \frac{n_m}{n})}\right)^{-1} \sim n^{-\frac{m-1}{2}}$$

$$\hat{b}_{n+1}(x^i) = rac{\int_{\mathcal{B}} bS_i(b,x^i)d\mu(b)}{\int_{\mathcal{B}} S_i(b,x^i)d\mu(b)}, \ \hat{S}_n(x^n) = \int_{\mathcal{B}} S_n(b,x^n)d\mu(b)$$

3 Communication

3.1

discrete channel $(\mathcal{X}, p(y|x), \mathcal{Y})$ DMC n-th extension $p(y_k|x^k, y^{k-1}) = p(y_k|x_k)$, non-feedback $p(x_k|x^{k-1},y^{k-1})=p(x_k|x^{k-1})$, thus $p(y^n|x^n)=\prod\limits_i p(y_i|x_i)$

(M,n) code of channel: message index set $W \in \mathcal{W} = \{1,\ldots,M\}$, coding func. $X^n: \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{X}^n$ and codebook $\mathcal{C} = \{x^n(1),\ldots,x^n(M)\}$, decoding func. $g: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{W}$

$$W o X^n(W) o Y^n o \hat{W}$$

conditional, maximum, average prob. of error

$$\lambda_i = \sum\limits_{y^n} p(y^n | x^n(i)) I(g(y^n)
eq i), \ \lambda = \max\limits_i \lambda_i, \ P_e^n = ar{\lambda_i}$$

rate $R = rac{logM}{n}$ bit/trans. achievable rate $n o \infty, \ \lambda o 0$

channel capacity
$$C = \max_{p(x)} I(X;Y) \;\; 0 \leq C = \max_{p(x)} H(Y) - H(Y|X) \leq \min(log|\mathcal{X}|, log|\mathcal{Y}|)$$

note: we use max not sup here since I(X; Y) is a concave func. on convex set of p(x) and several algo. can compute this maximum.

direct understanding: For each typical seq. X^n there are roughly $2^{nH(Y|X)}$ seq. of Y^n corresponding to it, and the total number of Y^n (typical) is $2^{nH(Y)}$, so nearly $2^{nI(X;Y)}$ disjointed image sets of diff. inputs X^n can be separated in one transmission. (or think of jointly typical $Pr = 2^{-nI}$)

example: BSC C = 1 - H(p), BEC $C = 1 - lpha\,$ symmetric channel

Channel Coding Th (Shannon Second Th): DMC, $\forall R < C, \ \exists (2^{nR}, n) \text{ code}, \lambda \to 0; \text{ Conversely}, \forall (2^{nR}, n) \text{ code with } \lambda \to 0 \text{ must has } R \leq C$

proof: randomly generated codebook, jointly typical decoding, so error comes from either not jointly typical Y^n or other possible inputs that are jointly typical with: $Pr(V^n \neq \hat{V}^n) = Pr((X^n(i), Y^n) \notin A_{\epsilon}^n) + \sum_{j \neq i} Pr((X^n(j), Y^n) \in A_{\epsilon}^n);$ for converse th, Fano ineq. and Data-processing ineq. lead to $nR = H(W^{uni.}) \leq 1 + P_e^n nR + nC.$ (strong converse edition: $R < C, \ P_e^n \to 0; \ R > C, \ P_e^n \to 1$)

note: equality needs 1. coding $X^n(W)$ and decoding \hat{W} are sufficient(all diff.); 2. Y_i *id.*; 3. X_i 's dis. is $p^*(x)$.

Hamming code, error-detecting code minimum weight and minimun distance parity check mx. $H(c + e_i) = He_i$ systematic code (n, k, d)

e.g. Hamming r(H) = l, $n = 2^l - 1$, $k = 2^l - l - 1$, d = 3 block code and convolutional code *more:* BCH code, LDPC code, turbo code.

feedback code $x_i(W,Y^{i-1})$, feedback capacity $C_{FB}=C$ (feedback can simplify coding but cannot enlarge capacity of DMC.)

Source-Channel Seperation Th: ($Pr(V^n
eq \hat{V}^n) = \sum\limits_{v^n} p(v^n) \lambda_{v^n}$)

 $\{V^n\}$ satisfies AEP (ergodic stno.), $H(\mathcal{V}) < C, \ \exists \text{ source-channel code}, \ Pr(V^n \neq \hat{V}^n) \rightarrow 0, \ \text{vice versa}$

Thus two-step way is equally efficient. First we do data compressing (from AEP): nearly all prob. is in a seq. set with size 2^{nH} , and we can use R > H code to express this info. source with little error. Second we do data transmitting (from Joint AEP): for large grouping length n, nearly all inputs and outputs are jointly typical with 2^{-nI} prob. of exception, and we can use $R < \max I = C$ code to keep error prob. low. This Th. H < C combines the two, telling that we can devise source code(exprssing efficiently) and channel code(confronting noise) seperatedly.

3.2

Gaussian channel $Y_i = X_i + Z_i, \; Z_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0,N) \;$ power constraint $rac{1}{n} \sum_i x_i^2 \leq P$

$$C = \max_{f(x): EX^2 \leq P} I(X;Y) = rac{1}{2}log(1+rac{P}{N})$$
 bit/trans

proof: $EY^2 = P + N, \; I(X;Y) = h(Y) - h(Z), \; ext{when} \; Y \sim \mathcal{N}(0,P+N) \; ext{i.e.} \; X \sim \mathcal{N}(0,P) \; ext{max}$

Similarly, code $(2^{nR}, n)$ with R < C is achievable. (Each decoding ball's radius is \sqrt{nN} and outputs' $\sqrt{n(P+N)}$, so the number of disjointed balls is no more than $(\frac{P+N}{N})^{\frac{n}{2}}$.)

finite bandwidth W Nyquist-Shannon Sampling Th: signal f(t) with maximum cut-off freq. W can be completely determined by sampling seq. of $\frac{1}{2W}$ s time interval. Thus it can be seemed as a vec. in 2WT dof/dim space.

bandwidth W, noise psd $\frac{N_0}{2}$, noise power N_0W (spherical ndis. with covarmx $\frac{N_0}{2}I$) AWGN channel $C = Wlog(1 + \frac{P}{N_0W})$ bit/s (Shannon Formula) $W \to \infty, \ C = W \cdot SNR = \frac{P}{N_0}$ nat/s

parallel Gaussian channel $\sum EX^2 \le P, \ C = \max I(X^k;Y^k)$ max power allocation: $P_i = (v - N_i)^+, \ \sum (v - N_i)^+ = P$ (water-filling)

correlated noise (memory channel can also convert to this) $\frac{1}{n}tr(\Sigma_X) \leq P, \ C_n = \max \frac{1}{2n}log \frac{|\Sigma_X + \Sigma_Z|}{|\Sigma_Z|}$, also sloved by water filling onto Σ_Z 's eigen values λ_i . $C_n = \frac{1}{2n}\sum_{i=1}^n log(1 + \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_i)^+}{\lambda_i}), \ \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_i)^+ = nP$

more: for stno, covarmx is Toeplitz mx, when $n \to \infty$ the envelop of its eigenvalues approaches the power spectral N(f) of this stno.; feedback Gaussian channel $C_{n,FB} = \max_{tr(\Sigma_X) \le nP} \frac{1}{2n} \log \frac{|\Sigma_{X+Z}|}{|\Sigma_Z|}$, X^n is no longer id. with Z^n and X = BZ + V miximizes. $C_{n,FB} \le \min(C_n + \frac{1}{2}, 2C_n)$ is only slightly higher than C_n .

3.3

reproduction/code point $\hat{X}(X)$, Dirichlet partition Lloyd algo.

distortion measure $d(x, \hat{x})$ Hamming distortion, squared error distortion

 $(2^{nR},n)$ rate distortion code $\,(R,D)$ achievable $\lim_{n o\infty} Ed(X^n,g_n(f_n(X^n)))\leq D$

rator. func. $R(D) = \inf_D ext{achi.} R = \min_{p(\hat{x}|x):D} I(X;\hat{X})$ (Shannon Third Th. $R \geq R(D_0) \Leftrightarrow D \leq D_0$)

example: (Ham. distortion, R(D) = 0 at other large D) B(p) source: $R(D) = H(p) - H(D), \ 0 \le D \le \min(p, 1-p); \ \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ source: $R(D) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\sigma^2}{D}, \ 0 \le D \le \sigma^2$ (similarly, ball of radius \sqrt{nD} filling in ball of radius $\sqrt{n\sigma^2}$, the number of codewords equals to $2^{nR(D)}$); parallel(multindis.) source: $R(D) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \frac{\sigma_i^2}{D}, \ D = \min(\lambda, \sigma^2), \ \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i = D(i, \alpha)$ and the spectrum is the spectrum of the spectrum is the spectrum of the spectrum is $(1 - \sigma^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i = D(i, \alpha)$.

 $R(D) = \sum_i rac{1}{2} log rac{\sigma_i^2}{D_i}, \ D_i = \min(\lambda, \sigma_i^2), \ \ \sum_i D_i = D$ (i.e. anti-waterfilling on the spectral)

Similarly, for combined source and channel coding, $D = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Ed(V_i, \hat{V_i})$ can be achieved iff C > R(D).

more: rator. is achi. when grouping length n is enough.(so put them together to describe will have less distortion than considering seperatedly) distortion typical set, strong typical set Blahut-Arimoto algo. for computing rator func.

universal source code: $\exists (2^{nR},n) ext{ code}, \forall ext{ source } Q ext{ with } H(Q) < R, \ P_e^n o 0$

more: minimax redundancy, Lemple-Ziv(LZ) coding

multiaccess channel, broadcast channel, relay channel, interference channel

multiaccess: *example:* binary addition and multiplication channel capacity region $R \in \mathcal{C}$ i.e. convex hull of

 $R(S) = \sum_{i \in S} R_i, \ X(S) = \{X_i :\in S\}, \ \forall S \subset \{1, \dots, m\}, \ R(S) \le I(X(S); Y | X(S^c)) \Leftrightarrow P_e^n \to 0$ onion-peeling at corner points

for Gausssion, denote $C(x) = \frac{1}{2}log(1+x)$, $\sum_{i \in S} R_i \leq C(\frac{\sum P_i}{N})$; total code-rate $C(\frac{mP}{N})$ will approach infty when $m \to \infty$ but mean of each sender will approach 0. CDMA(the polyline), FDMA and TDMA(the curve)

for source coding, Slepian-Wolf Th: $orall S \subset \{1,\ldots,m\}, \ R(S) > H(X(S)|X(S^c)) \Leftrightarrow P_e^n o 0$



digest: Shannon's three theorems. 1. non-distortion/lossless length-variable source-coding: (unidecodable) R > H (L is rate R) 2. noisy channel-coding: R < C (AWGN $C = B \log(1 + \frac{S}{N})$) 3. fidelity-criteria/lossy source-coding: R > R(D)

4 Automaton and Language

4.1

computation model finite automation FDA

transition function $\delta:Q imes \Sigma o Q$, accept state, languge L(M)=A

regular language regular operation: union \cup , concatenation \circ , star *

nondeterministic ϵ NFA $\delta: Q imes \Sigma_\epsilon o \mathcal{P}(Q)$

NFA = DFA

REG's closure under regular operation

regular expression REX: $R = a \in \Sigma$ or ϵ or \emptyset or $R_1 \cup R_2$ or $R_1 \circ R_2$ or R_1^*

token, lexical analyzer

GNFA (like contraction) $\delta: (Q - \{q_{acc}\}) imes (Q - \{q_{acc}\}) o \mathcal{R}$

equivalence: a language is regular iff it can be expressed by regex. proof: construction in closure; GNFA

irregular lang. example: $A = \{0^n 1^n | n \geq 0\}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{lang.} A\in REG, \ \exists p, \ \forall \ \text{string} \ s \ \text{with a length of no less than} \ p,s=xyz \ \text{and:} \\ \text{Pumping Lem:} & 1.\forall i\geq 0, \ xy^iz\in A \quad 2.|y|>0 \quad 3.|xy|\leq p \end{array}$

4.2

parser, context-free grammar, context-free language CFL

parse tree leftmost derivation ambiguous, inherently ambig.

Chomsky normal form: A o BC or A o a (or $S o \epsilon$)

pushdown automaton PDA stack $\delta: Q imes \Sigma_\epsilon imes \Gamma_\epsilon o \mathcal{P}(Q imes \Gamma_\epsilon)$

equivalence: a language is context-free iff it can be recognized by a PDA. proof: sign symbol , nondeter. substitution and comparison; A_{pq} for string that brings PDA from state p and empty stack to q and empty stack $\rightarrow A_{pr}A_{rq}$ or $\rightarrow aA_{rs}b$

 $REG \subset CFL$

CFL's Pumping Lem: $...s = uvxyz ext{ and}:$ $1. orall i \geq 0, \; uv^i xy^i z \in A \quad 2. |vy| > 0 \quad 3. |vxy| \leq p$

more: DPDA, DCFL leftmost reduction, valid string, handle, forced handle, DCFG

more: dotted rule DK-test almost(end sign lang.) equivalence of DCFG and DPDA LR(k) grammar

5 Computability

5.1

Turing machine, configuration L(M)

Turing-recognizable, decidable

variants and robustness: multitape TM, nondeterministic TM, enumerator recursive enumerable

algorithm, Hilbert's problem, Church-Turing Thesis

description of TM

5.2

decidable problem(language): A, E, EQ for DFA(REX), CFG except EQ_{CFG}

 $REG \subset CFL \subset DECI \subset RE$

universal TM A_{TM} is undecidable. proof: contradiction, Cantor diagonal method

The set of all TM $\{\langle M \rangle\}$ is countable but the set of all lang. \mathcal{L} is uncountable, thus \exists *lang.* $A \notin RE$.

$$A, \ \overline{A} \in RE \Rightarrow A \in DECI \ \overline{A_{TM}} \notin RE$$

5.3

reduction undeci: $HALT_{TM}, E_{TM}, REG_{TM}, EQ_{TM}$ proof: reduct to A_{TM} etc.

Rice Th: P is a non-trivial property, $L_p = \{\langle M \rangle | L(M) \in P\}$ is undeci. (not all TM descriptions belong to set P and $L(M_1) = L(M_2), \ \langle M_2 \rangle \in P$ iff $\langle M_1 \rangle \in P$.)

computation history LBA A_{LBA} is deci. but E_{LBA} is undeci.

more: ALL_{CFG}, PCP

 $\label{eq:computable} \begin{array}{l} \text{computable function mapping(many-one) reducibility:} \\ \exists \text{ computable func. } f: \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*, \ \forall \omega, \ \omega \in A \Leftrightarrow f(\omega) \in B \ A \leq_m B \end{array}$

If A is undeci/unre then B is undeci/unre; if B is deci/re then A is deci/re.

 $A \leq_m B \Leftrightarrow \overline{A} \leq_m \overline{B}$ example: $A_{TM} \leq_m \overline{EQ_{TM}}$

5.4

SELF machine that obtains its own description

Recursion Th:

T is a TM of func. $t: \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*, \ \exists \ \text{TM R of func.} \ r: \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*, \ \forall \omega, \ r(\omega) = t(\langle R \rangle, \omega)$

minimal description of TM $MIN_{TM}\,$ fixed point $\exists F,\;f(\langle F
angle)=F$

mathematical logic: model, formula \supset sentence \supset theory

 $Th(\mathbb{N},+)$ is deci. *proof: NDA recursion* $Th(\mathbb{N},+, imes)$ is undeci.

oracle TM $T^{A_{TM}}$ is much stronger but there still be some lang. it can not deci.

A decidable relative to B Turing reducible $A \leq_T B$ example: $E_{TM} \leq_T A_{TM}$

5.5

minimal description of string d(x), descriptive(Kolmogorov) complexity $K(x) = \min |\langle M, \omega \rangle|$ where x is on the tape when M halts on the input ω (or $K(x) = \min_{p:\mathcal{U}(p)=x} l(p)$)

K(x) is uncomputable. Godel incompleteness theorem, Berry paradox

 $K(xy) \leq K(x) + K(y) + O(logK(x))$ but can not reach K(x) + K(y) + O(1).

 $orall ext{ desc. lang. } A, \; \exists c_A, \; orall x, \; K(x) \leq K_A(x) + c_A$

 $|\{x \in \{0,1\}^*: K(x) < k\}| < 2^k ext{ for integer } n$, $K(n) \leq log^*n + c$

 $orall \mathcal{U}, \ \sum_{p:\mathcal{U}(p) ext{halts}} 2^{-l(p)} \leq 1$ (by Kraft ineq.) $sto. \{X^n\} \overset{iid.}{\sim} f(x), \ \frac{1}{n} EK(X^n|n) \to H(X)$ (by source coding th.)

more: c-compressible There always exists incompressible string of any length. ($\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{K(x^n|n)}{n} = 1$) There exists a constant b for $\forall x, d(x)$ is incompressible by b.

universal prob.
$$P_{\mathcal{U}}(x) = \sum_{p:\mathcal{U}(p)=x} 2^{-l(p)} \,\,\forall \,\mathrm{computer}\,A, \,\,\exists c_A, \,\,\forall x, \,\,P_{\mathcal{U}}(x) \ge c_A P_A(x)$$

equivalence: $\exists c, \,\,\forall x, \,\,|log rac{1}{P_{\mathcal{U}}(x)} - K(x)| \le c$
Chaitin $\Omega = \sum_{p:\mathcal{U}(p) \mathrm{halts}} 2^{-l(p)}$

more: Kolmogorov structure function, Kol. minimal sufficient statistics

6 Complexity

6.1

big O notation, small O notation

Unlike compuability, complexity depends on the computing model.

time complexity TIME(f(n)) note: $TIME(O(nlogn)) \subset REG$

 $P = igcup_k TIME(n^k)\,$ path, rel_prime, cfl

verifier, certificate $NP = \bigcup_k NTIME(n^k) = P_VERI \subset EXPTIME$ HAM_PATH, COMPOSITES, CLIQUE

 $P\stackrel{?}{=}NP$ NP-complete SAT

polynomial time computable function, polynomial time reduction $A \leq_P B$

more: cnf formula, 3SAT(is NPc)

6.2

space complexity SPACE(f(n))

 $SPACE(f(n)) \subset TIME(2^{O(f(n))}) \subset SPACE(2^{O(f(n))})$

Savitch Th: $\forall f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^+$, where $f(n) \geq n(ext{acc. } logn), \ NSPACE(f(n)) \subseteq SPACE(f^2(n))$

 $PSPACE = NPSPACE \supseteq NP$

PSPACE-complete PSAPCE-hard TQBF, FORMULA_GAME

bitape TM $L = SPACE(logn) \stackrel{?}{=} NL$

log space transducer, log space reduction $A \leq_L B$

PATH is NLc, $NL = coNL \subseteq P$

6.3

space constructible($f(n) \ge O(logn)$), time constructible($f(n) \ge O(nlogn)$)

Hierarchy Th:

 $\forall \text{ constructible } f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}, \ \exists \text{ lang. } A,$

decidable in space O(f(n)) but not o(f(n)); in time O(f(n)) but not o(f(n)/logn)

 $NL \subsetneq PSPACE, PSAPCE \subsetneq EXPSPACE, P \subsetneq EXPTIME$

more: EXPSPACE-complete circuit complexity

advanced topics: approx. algorithm, probabilistic TM (BPP), prime, alternating TM, IP=PSPACE, parallel RAM (NC), cryptography(private-key cryptosystem, pulic-key cryptosystem RSA)

family picture:

